

# THE ROUGE PARK ALLIANCE

50 Bloomington Road West, Aurora, Ontario L4G 3G8

Tel: (905) 713-6038 Fax: (905) 713-6028

## THE ROUGE PARK ALLIANCE MEETING #2/01

FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 2001

9:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

TORONTO ZOO

361A Old Finch Avenue, Scarborough

North Administration Building, Main Boardroom

### A G E N D A

#### PAGES

MINUTES FROM MEETING #1/01, January 19, 2001 . . . previously mailed, **PLEASE BRING TO MEETING**

BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST

PRESENTATION - Gord Weeden . . . . . **Presentation**

DELEGATIONS

- Mike Whittamore, Whittamore Bros. Ltd. (Attachment - Letter dated January 29, 2001) . . . . . Delegation

- Jim Robb - Rouge North Management Plan . . . . . Delegation

1. A. Mark Schollen, Schollen & Company Inc. . . . . **Presentation**

B. Draft Rouge North Management Plan Staff Report . . . . . 1B.1-1B.2

- Draft RNMP - "Rouge North Management Plan - Comprehensive Plan,  
Focussed Comment and Edit Summary Reports, January 2001"

. . . . . Circulated to Members/Alternates Last Meeting - **PLEASE BRING TO MEETING**

C. Draft RNMP - "Rouge North Management Plan - Comprehensive Comment and Edit  
Summary Report, January 2001" . . . . . **(Blue Pages Included in Above Report)**

D. Draft RNMP-"Summary Report - Rouge Park Alliance Work Session ..." . . . . . 1D.1-1D.11

E. Draft RNMP - "Preface" . . . . . 1E.1-1E.2

2. Markham Development - Milne Park Conservation Association . . . . . 2.1-2.6

3. Cedar Brae Golf and Country Club Proposal . . . . . 3.1-3.16

4. Village Securities - Archie Little II Site (AkGt-17) . . . . . 4.1-4.4

5. A. Heritage Committee Report . . . . . 5A.1

B. Change from Agricultural Heritage Reserves AHR 11 and AHR 12 ... . . . . 5B.1-5B.5

C. Plaque to Honour Gandatsetiagon . . . . . 5C.1-5C.3

D. Rouge Park Boundary - Village Securities Property . . . . . 5D.1-5D.7


E. Morningside Trail Opportunities . . . . . 5E.1-5E.2

F. Re-establishment of a Rouge Park Planning Committee . . . . . 5F.1-5F.2

G. Environmental Significance of the Rouge Park . . . . . **Presentation** and 5G.1-5G.2

6. Rouge Park Land Acquisition . . . . . 6.1-6.2

7. Communications Program Report - (Deferred from January 19/01 Mtg.) . . . . . 7.1-7.11



- 8. Roads Committee Report - (Deferred from January 19/01 Meeting)  
..... **Included in Package of Last Meeting, PLEASE BRING TO MEETING**
- 9. General Manager's Report - (Deferred from January 19/01 Mtg.) ..... 9.1-9.7
- 10. TRCA -Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force Report ..... 10.1-10.39

NEW BUSINESS

CORRESPONDENCE

- a. Letter dated January 2, 2001 to Rouge Park Alliance from Marian Martin re Review of Durham Regional Official Plan and Rouge Park
- b. Letter dated January 19, 2001 to Gordon R. Weeden from York Region re Appointments to the Rouge Park Alliance
- c. Letter dated January 22, 2001 to Gord Weeden from Town of Markham re Rouge Park Alliance Appointments to the Rouge Park Alliance
- d. Letter dated February 2, 2001 to Gordon R. Weeden from City Clerk, City of Toronto re Appointments to the Rouge Park Alliance



# **THE ROUGE PARK ALLIANCE**

## **MINUTES #2/01 - March 9, 2001**

The Rouge Park Alliance met at the Toronto Zoo, Main Boardroom, on March 9, 2001. Ron Christie, Chair, called the meeting to order at 9:07 a.m., and expressed appreciation to the Toronto Zoo for hosting the meeting.

### **PRESENT**

#### **Members:**

Ron Christie, Chair  
Regional Councillor David Barrow, Town of Richmond Hill  
Pauline Browes, Waterfront Regeneration Trust Corp.  
Glenn De Baeremaeker, Save the Rouge Valley System  
Councillor Jack Heath, Town of Markham  
Derek Lee, MP, Federal Government  
Councillor Ron Moeser, City of Toronto  
Regional Councillor Frank Scarpitti, Region of York

#### **Staff:**

Pam Fulford, Prog.Mgr., Natural & Cultural Heritage  
Doreen McCarty, Administrative Assistant

#### **Observers:**

David Beasley, City of Toronto  
Robert Burgar, TRCA  
Jane Clohecy, TRCA  
Carol De Geer, Hillside  
Ron Dewell, Toronto & Region Conservation Authority  
Herb Diller  
Lilli Duoba, Town of Markham  
Doreen Mount, Hillside  
Gary Mount, Hillside

### **ABSENT**

#### **Members:**

Councillor Raymond Cho, City of Toronto  
Councillor Peter Dobrich  
Steve Gilchrist, MPP, Province of Ontario  
Councillor Mark Holland, Region of Durham  
Dick O'Brien, City of Toronto  
Councillor David Ryan, City of Pickering  
Calvin White, Toronto Zoo

#### **Alternates:**

Brian Denney, TRCA  
Sue Gunton, Toronto Zoo  
Rob Messervey, Ministry of Natural Resources - Aurora  
Councillor Erin Shapero

Maryam Nassar, Public Relations Coordinator  
Gord Weeden, General Manager, Rouge Park

#### **Observers: (Continued...)**

John O'Gorman, MCC/MEA  
Jim Robb, Friends of the Rouge Watershed  
Mark Schollen, Schollen & Company Inc.  
Bob Stephen, Cedar Brae Golf & Country Club  
Frank Whittamore, Agriculture  
Mike Whittamore, Agricultural Business

#### **Alternates:**

Jim Baird, Town of Markham  
Councillor Harry Bowes, Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville  
Nestor Chornobay, Region of Durham  
Regional Councillor Michael DiBiase, Region of York  
Tom Farrell, Ministry of Natural Resources, Aurora  
Bob Loftson, Federal Government  
Robert Marshall, Save the Rouge Valley System  
Tom Melymuk, City of Pickering  
John McKay, MP, Federal Government

MINUTES FROM MEETING #1/01, January 19, 2001

First item on the agenda is the minutes of the meeting held on January 19, 2001, which was sent to you.

**MOTION**                      **Moved by: David Barrow**  
**Res. #09/01**                    **Seconded by: Brian Denney**

**THAT the minutes of Meeting #1/01, JANUARY 19, 2001, be approved.**

..... **CARRIED**

BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

With regard to discussions at previous meetings regarding Save the Rouge Valley System’s bump-up request, and concern by the Rouge Park Alliance that it may interfere with the transfer of lands to the Rouge Park, Glenn De Baeremaeker handed out a letter dated January 23, 2001 to the Hon. Dan Newman, Minister of the Environment.

DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST

None

DELEGATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

**Mike Whittamore, Whittamore Bros. Ltd.**

Mr. Whittamore made a presentation regarding lands in the former Duffin-Rouge Agricultural Preserve. He discussed his family’s wish to buy back lands that were expropriated in 1972. He argued that the lands were expropriated for an “urban development”, not for “open space”.

In 1998, his family bought back two parcels of land under the Tenant-Purchase Program from the Ontario Realty Corporation, and would like to purchase a third parcel.

Mr. Whittamore expressed that he is against the placing of environmental/agricultural easements on lands in the Town of Markham, being sold by the Ontario Realty Corporation.

Mr. Whittamore would like to see some mechanism in place that includes the agricultural community in the Town of Markham. He would like to see some representation on the Alliance for the agricultural community.

Mr. Whittamore also spoke on behalf of Doreen Mount. He expressed that he wishes someone would pursue this issue. Doreen Mount lives in the Rouge Park, and would like to sell her property and retire elsewhere.

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Ron Moeser**  
**Res.#10/01**                      **Seconded by: Glenn De Baeremaeker**

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request staff to submit an update and summary of details of lands being sold and presently owned by the Ontario Realty Corporation in the former Duffin-Rouge Agricultural Preserve;**

**AND FURTHER that the Rouge Park Alliance pursue the issue of Doreen Mount's property.**

..... **CARRIED**

**ACTION**                      - Rouge Park staff to prepare for the Alliance an update and summary of details re lands presently owned by ORC, and to meet with TRCA and City of Toronto staff to investigation options re Doreen Mount's property.

**Jim Robb, Friends of the Rouge Watershed:**

Jim Robb made a presentation regarding two issues: The Rouge North Management Plan and the Rouge Park Trail Development and Management Plan.

Jim Robb expressed appreciation to the Rouge Park for convening the special work session on February 15, 2001 to discuss the Rouge North Management Plan, where consensus was reached on a number of issues. He was pleased to see the plan at this stage, understanding that there were still significant issues and conservation issues to be addressed through the implementation strategy, the acquisition strategy and the funding strategy that are part of the moving forward process.

Jim Robb addressed the trail opportunity - 5E.1 in the agenda package. He would like to see the Rouge Park Trail Development and Management Plan implemented. He would like to see a trail developed, (even a simple wood chip trail), and regeneration occur within the trail buffers prior to construction of the McAsphalt and Morningside Heights developments.

Jim Robb would also like the Rouge Park Alliance partners to look at acquiring an old rail corridor, which has been abandoned for about 2½ years. It is located in the Morningside Avenue area, and was the site used to access the McAsphalt site.

**1A Mark Schollen and Company, Inc.**

Mark updated the Rouge Park Alliance on the Rouge North Management Plan workshop session held on February 15, 2001. He would like to see the Alliance approve the Rouge North Management Plan and then move forward to implement the Plan.

1B; 1C; 1D; 1E Draft Rouge North Management Plan

**MOTION:**                    **Moved by: Derek Lee**  
**Res.#11/01**                   **Seconded by: David Barrow**

**KEY ISSUE**

Comments on final Rouge North Management Plan.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive the report - “Rouge North Management Plan - Comprehensive Comment, Focussed Comment and Edit Summary Reports, January 2001” (previously circulated on January 19, 2001);**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance approve the “Comprehensive Comment and Edit Summary Report, January 2001” (blue pages of the report “Rouge North Management Plan - Comprehensive Comment, Focussed Comment and Edit Summary Reports, January 2001”);**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive and approve the report “Summary Report - Rouge North Management Plan, Rouge Park Alliance Work Session, Thursday, February 15, 2001” (Attachment #1, pages 1D.1-1D.11);**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance approve the “Preface” document to be included in the final Rouge North Management Plan (Attachment #2, pages 1E.1-1E.2);**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance approve the Rouge North Management Plan as revised by the:**

- S “Comprehensive Comment and Edit Summary Report, January 2001” (blue pages);
- S “Summary Report - Rouge North Management Plan, Rouge Park Alliance Work Session, Thursday, February 15, 2001”;
- S “Preface”.

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance direct the consultant, Schollen and Company Inc. to finalize the Rouge North Management Plan;**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance forward the Rouge Park Alliance approved Rouge North Management Plan to all partners for endorsement by June 1, 2001;**

**AND FURTHER THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request that the Plan be used as a guide for implementation until such time as the Implementation Strategy is finalized and fully approved by all partners.**

**MOTION AS AMENDED ..... CARRIED**

**Recorded Vote:**

**YEA**

Councillor David Barrow, Richmond Hill  
Ms Pauline Browes, Waterfront Regen. Trust  
Mr. Brian Denney, TRCA  
Ms Sue Gunton, Toronto Zoo  
Councillor Jack Heath, Markham  
Mr. Derek Lee, Federal Government  
Mr. Rob Messervey, Province of Ontario  
Councillor Ron Moeser, Toronto  
Councillor Frank Scarpitti, York Region

**NAY**

Mr. Glenn De Baeremaeker

**2. Proposed Development - Town of Markham - Milne Park Conservation Association**

**Tupper Wheatley**

Before discussing the proposed development, Tupper Wheatley thanked the Alliance for acquiring the Sarah Jane Court property, at the confluence of Robinson Creek and the Rouge River.

He discussed the proposed development in the Town of Markham at the southwest corner of Highway 7 and McCowan Road, which requires a zoning by-law amendment. This proposed development (Madrid Developments) concerns local residents and environmental groups; traffic noise, odours, etc. are the concerns of neighbours in the immediate vicinity. Markham's Development Services Planning Committee has recommended the rejection of the application. The applicant has threatened to go to the OMB. The application goes to Markham Council on March 20<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Wheatley is seeking support from the Rouge Park Alliance and suggested the Alliance consider a possible acquisition in the area south of the Madrid Developments proposal.

**MOTION:**

**Moved by: Frank Scarpitti**

**Res.#12/01**

**Seconded by: Glenn De Baeremaeker**

**KEY ISSUE**

Letter dated January 29, 2001 received from the Milne Park Conservation Association concerning a proposed development in the Town of Markham: Madrid Developments - 5521 Highway #7 and 5225 McCowan Road, Markham - Application for Zoning By-law Amendment to permit offices, restaurant and retail use.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive the letter dated January 29, 2001 from the Milne Park Conservation Association;**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance support the Town of Markham and the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority in achieving the spirit and intent of the Rouge North Management Plan;**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request the Town of Markham and the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority to look for every opportunity to protect the existing vegetation and the integrity of the Rouge River water course;**

**AND FURTHER THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request its Partners, under the coordination of the Town of Markham, to review their Special Policy Areas with the intent of updating the Special Policy Area designation as it relates to the Rouge Park, Rouge River, and its tributaries.**

**AMENDMENTS**

**MOTION:                      Moved by:    Frank Scarpitti**  
**Res.#13/01                      Seconded by: Ron Moeser**

- 1.    THAT the Rouge Park Alliance pursue the acquisition of the parcels south of the Madrid Development (4.9 acres) within the floodplain Special Policy Area, after all approval mechanisms through the planning process fail to protect this area.**

**AMENDMENT #1 ..... CARRIED**

**MOTION:                      Moved by:    Glenn De Baeremaeker**  
**Res.#14/01                      Seconded by: Ron Moeser**

- 2.    THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request the Town of Markham to reject the Madrid rezoning application to build a commercial building and parking lot in the floodplain of the Rouge River;**
- 3.    AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request the Town of Markham to approve an interim control by-law on the four properties at Highway 7 and McCowan Road and create a comprehensive plan to ensure any future development occurs outside the floodplain;**
- 4.    AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request the Town of Markham to provide legal and planning experts should an application be made to the Ontario Municipal Board;**
- 5.    AND FURTHER THAT the Rouge Park Alliance request the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority to support rejection of this application and to support protection of the entire Rouge valley floodplain.**

**AMENDMENTS #2, #3, #4, #5 ..... CARRIED**

**ORIGINAL MOTION AS AMENDED ..... CARRIED**

**ACTION**

- Rouge Park staff to inform the Town of Markham and the TRCA on these resolutions.

3. Cedar Brae Golf and Country Club Proposal

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Brian Denney**  
**Res.#15/01**                      **Seconded by: Ron Moeser**

**KEY ISSUE**

Staff review and comments on the Cedar Brae Golf and Country Club proposal.

**THAT the Rouge Park refer this report back to staff for further discussion between the staff, the Chair and the Golf Club, to come up with a more comprehensive proposal..**

**MOTION AS DEFERRED ..... CARRIED**

**ACTION**

- Rouge Park staff to followup with the golf club.

4. Village Securities, Archie Little II Site (AkGt-17);  
Designation of National Historic Significance

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Frank Scarpitti**  
**Res.#16/01**                      **Seconded by: Glenn De Baeremaeker**

**KEY ISSUE**

The heritage significance of the Archie Little II site (AkGt-17), issues to be considered for designation of national significance.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receives the report on the process involved in obtaining a Ministerial designation of national historic significance for the Archie Little II site (AkGt-17);**

**AND FURTHER THAT the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) be requested to ensure that the necessary research be completed for a report for the Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada requesting the Archie Little II site (AkGt-17) be considered for designation of national historic significance, and, if the owner of the site agrees, to file such an application at the earliest opportunity.**

**MOTION AS AMENDED ..... CARRIED**

**ACTION**

- Rouge Park staff to meet with working group and report back to the Alliance.

6. Rouge Park Land Acquisition (Agenda Item Moved Forward)

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Rob Messervey**  
**Res. #17/01**                      **Seconded by: Erin Shapero**

**KEY ISSUES**

Status of the Rouge Park Land Acquisition.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive the report on the status of the Rouge Park land acquisition;**

**AND FURTHER THAT Toronto and Region Conservation Authority only proceed with further negotiations when funding has been identified.**

**AMENDMENT**

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Rob Messervey**  
**Res.#18/01**                      **Seconded by: Ron Moeser**

**AND FURTHER THAT Rouge Park staff, in conjunction with partners, particularly with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, municipalities, and the province, rank or place in priority groupings the current properties identified within the pool of acquisition priorities.**

**AMENDMENT ..... CARRIED**

**ORIGINAL MOTION ..... CARRIED**

**ACTION**                      - Rouge Park staff to work with partners to rank or place in priority groupings the current properties listed in the pool of acquisition priorities.

5A. Rouge Park Heritage Committee Report

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Derek Lee**  
**Res.#19/01**                      **Seconded by: Sue Gunton**

**KEY ISSUE**

At its meeting on February 7,2001, the Rouge Park Heritage Committee made recommendations to the Rouge Park Alliance on the following matters:

- Revision to the Rouge Park Vegetation Management Plan
- Plaque to Honour Gandatsetiagon
- Rouge Park Boundary - Village Securities
- City of Toronto Trail Development
- Rouge Park Planning Committee
- Environmental Significance of the Rouge Park

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive these recommendations;**

**AND FURTHER THAT the Rouge Park Alliance take action on the various recommendations as deemed appropriate.**

..... **CARRIED**

5B. Recommendation of Change from Agricultural Heritage  
Reserves AHR 11 and AHR 12 to Restoration A 19 and A 20

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Sue Gunton**  
**Res.#20/01**                      **Seconded by: Glenn De Baeremaeker**

**KEY ISSUE**

The Committee is recommending a change in the Rouge Park Vegetation Management Plan from Agricultural Heritage Reserve zone to Restoration zone.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive the report to the change from Agricultural Heritage Reserves AHR 11 and AHR 12, described in the Rouge Park Vegetation Management Plan, to Restoration Zones A 19 and A 20;**

**AND FURTHER THAT the Rouge Park Alliance direct staff to seek public input into the proposed changes to the Rouge Park Management Plan and the Rouge Park Vegetation Management Plan.**

..... **CARRIED**

***ACTION***                      - Rouge Park staff to get public input re proposed changes to above mentioned Rouge Park plans.

5C. Recommendation to Support Plaque to Honour Gandatsetiagon

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Glenn De Baeremaeker**  
**Res.#21/01**                      **Seconded by: Brian Denney**

**KEY ISSUE**

Tom Mohr's presentation to the Rouge Park Heritage Committee and his request for support for construction of a cultural heritage interpretive plaque.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance supports the construction of a plaque on the Pickering side of the Rouge River to honour the National Historical Site, the Village of Gandatsetiagon.**

..... **CARRIED**

**ACTION**                      - Rouge Park staff to send letter of support to Tom Mohr.

5D. Recommendation to Support Rouge Park Boundary on Village Securities Property

**MOTION:**                      **Moved by: Glenn De Baeremaeker**  
**Res.#22/01**                      **Seconded by: Derek Lee**

**KEY ISSUE**

Alliance support for the Ontario Municipal Board Morningside Heights decision, the Rouge Park Management Plan Policy 3.3.1 on the Rouge Park boundary, and the Morningside Heights Secondary Plan policy.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance supports the Ontario Municipal Board decision of January 23, 1999 on Morningside Heights, that "a thirty metre buffer distance from the top of the bank of the Rouge Valley be maintained" for all properties in the Morningside Heights development including the Village Securities property;**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance supports the Ontario Municipal Board approved Morningside Heights Secondary Plan policy which states "A 30 metre setback from the valley shall be established. This setback shall remain undeveloped...";**

**AND THAT the Rouge Park Alliance supports the 30-metre boundary being brought into public ownership in the case of the Village Securities property OMB hearing, to ensure that the area "shall remain undeveloped" as stipulated in the OMB approved Morningside Heights Secondary Plan;**

**AND FURTHER THAT the City of Toronto and TRCA staff be advised of these resolutions and that they be supported by Rouge Park staff and any other partners to uphold the Rouge Park Management Policy 3.3.1 at the Village Securities OMB hearing.**

..... **CARRIED**

**ACTION** - Rouge Park staff to meet with City of Toronto and TRCA staff re resolutions.

5E. Morningside Trail Opportunities

**MOTION:** Moved by: Glenn De Baeremaeker  
**Res.#23/01** Seconded by: Erin Shapero

**KEY ISSUE**

There are current opportunities to implement the Rouge Park Trail Development and Management Plan.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance support the construction of a trail consistent with the Rouge Park Trail Development and Management Plan adjacent to the Rouge River concurrent with the development of the plans of subdivision for the Silvercore Properties, Village Securities, McAsphalt and all other Morningside Heights properties;**

**AND THAT the City of Toronto and Rouge Park staff explore opportunities to secure the abandoned railway corridor which is presently for sale;**

**AND THAT all lands considered for future Rouge Park trails will meet the soil contamination criteria for park land use;**

**AND FURTHER THAT the Rouge Park Alliance direct staff to begin discussions with the City of Toronto on a design and work plan to implement the trail.**

..... **CARRIED**

**ACTION** - Rouge Park staff to discuss design and work plan to implement the trail with City of Toronto staff.

5F. The Re-establishment of a Rouge Park Planning Committee

**MOTION:**                    **Moved by: Glenn De Baeremaeker**  
**Res.#24/01**                   **Seconded by: Derek Lee**

**KEY ISSUE**

Re-establishment of the Rouge Park Planning Committee.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance direct staff to reconvene the Rouge Park Planning Committee, to regularly review Planning Act and the Environmental Assessment Act related issues in the Rouge watershed to implement the Rouge Park Management Plan (1994) and the Rouge North Management Plan (once approved).**

..... **CARRIED**

**ACTION** - Rouge Park staff to reconvene the Rouge Park Planning Committee.

5G. The Environmental Significance of the Rouge Park

**MOTION:**                    **Moved by: Derek Lee**  
   **Seconded by: Ron Moeser**

**KEY ISSUE**

Information Report on ESAs, ANSIs, and PSWs in the Rouge Park.

..... **DEFERRED**

**ACTION** - Rouge Park staff to bring this forward to the next meeting.

7. Communications & Interpretation Program Work Plan

**MOTION:**                    **Moved by: Derek Lee**  
**Res.#25/01**                   **Seconded by: Brian Denney**

**KEY ISSUE**

Communications & Interpretation Committee Report for March 24, 2000.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive the Communications and Interpretation Committee report.**

..... **CARRIED**

8. Roads Committee Report

..... **DEFERRED**

**ACTION** - Rouge Park staff to bring this forward to the next meeting.

9. General Manager's Report

**MOTION:** Moved by: Derek Lee  
**Res.#26/01** Seconded by: Ron Moeser

**KEY ISSUE**  
General Manager's Report for December 1-31, 2000.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receives the General Manager's report.**

..... **CARRIED**

**ACTION** - Rouge Park General Manager to report on stewardship program and partnership.

10. TRCA - Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force Report

**MOTION:** Moved by: Derek Lee  
**Res.#27/01** Seconded by: Frank Scarpitti

**KEY ISSUE**  
Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force Report.

**THAT the Rouge Park Alliance receive the status report on the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force and the City of Toronto report "Our Toronto Waterfront: Building Momentum";**

**AND FURTHER THAT the Rouge Park Alliance support and encourage the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority on the implementation of this initiative.**

..... **CARRIED**

